

Definitive global law guides offering comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

International Arbitration 2021

Malta

Antoine Cremona and Louis Cassar Pullicino Ganado Advocates

practiceguides.chambers.com



Law and Practice

Contributed by:
Antoine Cremona and Louis Cassar Pullicino
Ganado Advocates see p.18



CONTENTS

1. (deneral	p.4
1.1	Prevalence of Arbitration	p.4
1.2	Impact of COVID-19	p.4
1.3	Key Industries	p.4
1.4	Arbitral Institutions	p.5
1.5	National Courts	p.5
2. 0	Governing Legislation	p.5
2.1	Governing Law	p.5
2.2	Changes to National Law	p.5
3. T	he Arbitration Agreement	p.6
3.1	Enforceability	p.6
3.2	Arbitrability	p.6
3.3	National Courts' Approach	p.7
3.4	Validity	p.7
4. T	he Arbitral Tribunal	p.7
4.1	Limits on Selection	p.7
4.2	Default Procedures	p.8
4.3	Court Intervention	p.8
4.4	Challenge and Removal of Arbitrators	p.8
4.5	Arbitrator Requirements	p.9
5. J	urisdiction	p.9
5.1	Matters Excluded from Arbitration	p.9
5.2	Challenges to Jurisdiction	p.9
5.3	Circumstances for Court Intervention	p.9
5.4	Timing of Challenge	p.9
5.5	Standard of Judicial Review for Jurisdiction/ Admissibility	p.9
5.6	Breach of Arbitration Agreement	p.10
5.7	Third Parties	p.10

6. F	Preliminary and Interim Relief	p.10
6.1	Types of Relief	p.10
6.2	Role of Courts	p.10
6.3	Security for Costs	p.11
7. F	Procedure	p.11
7.1	Governing Rules	p.11
7.2	Procedural Steps	p.12
7.3	Powers and Duties of Arbitrators	p.12
7.4	Legal Representatives	p.12
8. E	Evidence	p.12
8.1	Collection and Submission of Evidence	p.12
8.2	Rules of Evidence	p.13
8.3	Powers of Compulsion	p.13
9. (Confidentiality	p.13
9.1	Extent of Confidentiality	p.13
10.	The Award	p.14
10.1	Legal Requirements	p.14
10.2	2 Types of Remedies	p.14
10.3	Recovering Interest and Legal Costs	p.14
11.	Review of an Award	p.14
11.1	Grounds for Appeal	p.14
11.2	Excluding/Expanding the Scope of Appeal	p.15
11.3	Standard of Judicial Review	p.15
12.	Enforcement of an Award	p.15
12.1	New York Convention	p.15
12.2	2 Enforcement Procedure	p.15
12.3	Approach of the Courts	p.16

MALTA CONTENTS

13. Miscellaneous	p.16
13.1 Class-Action or Group Arbitration	p.16
13.2 Ethical Codes	p.16
13.3 Third-Party Funding	p.16
13.4 Consolidation	p.16
13.5 Third Parties	p.17

1. GENERAL

1.1 Prevalence of Arbitration

International arbitration is a frequently used and broadly accepted method of dispute resolution in Malta for both general commercial and sector-specific disputes. It is particularly prevalent in certain sectors such as construction and information technology, where most domestic parties engaged in international contracts have recourse to institutional or ad hoc international arbitrations, but even so it still occurs regularly on a more generic level across various industries. Further to this, international arbitration clauses are increasingly featuring in commercial contracts governed by Maltese law or involving a Malta based entity.

There has been a discernible uptake in the use of international arbitration in relation to contracts involving public and private entities, shipping, insurance, as well as financial services disputes. Various factors such as geographical location, legal framework and cost-to-quality ratio, may incentivise parties into resorting to arbitration as opposed to court litigation in Malta.

The principal advantages of arbitration in Malta are flexibility of procedure, the value added brought by arbitrators, as well as the relative ease of enforceability of awards. The jurisdiction is generally supportive of arbitration, and the courts have extensive powers of support (including interim relief) for both domestic and international arbitrations having their seat in Malta.

On a purely domestic level, litigation remains the predominant method of dispute resolution in Malta amongst local market players. Litigation costs in Malta are generally low and in domestic disputes arbitration has never managed to break through as the prevalent method of dispute resolution although the number of cases has been consistently on the increase.

1.2 Impact of COVID-19

Following the initial disruption of proceedings in the second quarter of 2020 caused by COV-ID-19, the efficient adaptation to the new reality in international arbitrations having Malta as the seat of arbitration was very much a direct reflection of the experience of the arbitration tribunals. More experienced arbitrators have adapted to the new reality quite easily and have readjusted procedural timetables very efficiently, promoting an increased use of technology by the parties. There has been a largely seamless transition to remote hearings and use of secure platforms for data and document exchanges.

In international arbitrations managed by the Malta Arbitration Centre (MAC), which is the designated institution under the Arbitration Act (the "Act") for domestic arbitrations but is often also designated as the institution managing international arbitrations with Malta as their seat of arbitration, the MAC has invited tribunals and parties to conduct proceedings electronically through various technology platforms and in so doing, proceedings have been unaffected and uninterrupted on account of the pandemic. Both arbitrators and the parties to the dispute have proven willing to adopt this manner of proceedings.

1.3 Key Industries

The use of arbitration in Malta has been increasing steadily. In particular, due to the increased number of large-scale infrastructure projects, the construction industry has seen a significant increase in arbitration, especially since FIDIC contracts have become the prevalent construction contract in Malta for medium-to-large-scale developments. Accordingly, a number of claims have been arbitrated. Arbitration clauses are increasingly being incorporated in contracts which are the subject of public procurement processes with public authorities clearly indicating

to the market the preference to refer disputes to arbitration.

Moreover, a marked increase in arbitrations relating to areas which were hitherto the exclusive domain of the Maltese courts has been witnessed. This includes shareholder's disputes and certain intellectual property disputes, largely due to the flexibility afforded by arbitration as well as an experts' focus on the subject matter. In this context, it appears that the COVID-19 pandemic has had little effect on the incidence of disputes being referred to arbitration.

1.4 Arbitral Institutions

The MAC is the principal institution that oversees the conduct of domestic arbitrations and a good number international arbitrations having Malta as their seat of arbitration. It is run by a publicly appointed board of governors that is responsible for the policy and general administration of the affairs and business of the Centre. The MAC offers facilities for the conduct of arbitration, may act as the default appointing authority with a choice of arbitrator(s) from panels of professionals practising different areas of law.

Malta serves as the seat of ad hoc international arbitrations, as well as the seat of institutional arbitrations under the rules of leading arbitration institutions, most commonly, the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA).

No new institutions have been established over the past year.

1.5 National Courts

The superior courts (First Hall of the Civil Courts and the Court of Appeal) are vested with the powers to stay arbitration proceedings, grant interim relief, hear procedural challenges and make recognition orders. The specific division of powers and jurisdiction of the particular courts

depends on the nature of the relief sought. They are regulated by the Act and the Code of Organisation and Civil Procedure (Chapter 12 of the Laws of Malta) and will be addressed in more detail in further questions.

2. GOVERNING LEGISLATION

2.1 Governing Law

The Act and the Arbitration Rules (Subsidiary Legislation 387.01) (the "Rules") are the principal legislative instruments regulating arbitration in Malta. The Act is modelled on the 1985 UNCITRAL Model Law, and the Rules are likewise modelled on the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 1976 (revised in 2010). The Act also incorporates the New York Convention, the Geneva Protocol on Arbitration Clauses of 1923, the Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards 1927 (Geneva Convention) and the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States 1965.

The 1985 Model Law is annexed to the Act as the First Schedule of the Act and forms an integral part thereof in its entirety.

2.2 Changes to National Law

Until the most recent amendment in August of 2020, the MAC was the designated court for recognition and enforcement purposes under the New York Convention. The decisions of the Chairman of the Centre were final and could not be appealed therefrom, although nothing barred a party from subsequently bringing fresh proceedings for recognition and enforcement in case recognition proceedings were initially not accepted by the Chairman.

One significant amendment is the introduction of a right of appeal before the Court of Appeal against a decision by the Chairman of the MAC

on the registration of a foreign award. An appeal by an aggrieved party must be filed within 20 days from the date from which the determination of the Chairman of the MAC on the registration of the foreign award is communicated to the parties. Such appeals are to be lodged to the Court of Appeal in its Inferior Jurisdiction.

Through this substantial amendment, the recognition court is effectively no longer just the MAC but there is a second instance proceeding in front of the Court of Appeal. Notwithstanding the inclusion of a second tier, there has been a marked increase in the efficiency of the process leading to the recognition of foreign arbitral awards in Malta.

3. THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

3.1 Enforceability

Even insofar as the formal requirements of an arbitration agreement are concerned, Maltese arbitration law follows the UNCITRAL Model Law and the New York Convention, which are reproduced in the First Schedule and Part III of the Second Schedule respectively, both forming part of the Act. An arbitration agreement must be in writing and may be drawn up in the form of an arbitration clause in a contract or in the form of a separate agreement. Domestic law requires that an arbitration agreement be made in writing as provided under Article 7(2) of the UNCITRAL Model Law.

For the purposes of Maltese law, an agreement is considered to have been made in writing solely in the following circumstances:

• if it is contained in a document that is transferred from one party to the other party or by a third party to both parties, provided no

- objection was raised within 30 days from receipt thereof;
- if reference is made in a written contract to a document containing an arbitration clause, insofar as that reference operates to make such clause part of the contract; or
- through the issuance of a bill of lading, provided the latter contains an express reference to an arbitration clause in a charter party, in which case the bill of lading is in and of itself deemed to constitute a written arbitration agreement.

The Model Law further elaborates in this respect, and confirms that the "in writing" requirement is also satisfied if it is contained in:

- a document signed by the parties;
- an exchange of telecommunication which provide for a record of the agreement; or
- an exchange of statements of claim and defence in which the existence of an agreement is alleged by one party and not denied by the other.

Naturally, the references in the 1985 UNCITRAL Model Law and the 1958 New York Convention to an instrument "in writing" have to be interpreted in the light of more recent laws establishing equivalence between traditional written instruments and electronic communications.

3.2 Arbitrability

Over the past few years, there has been an increasing expansion of the scope of what type of disputes are considered by arbitration tribunals and the courts of law as "arbitrable", both in terms of subjective as well as objective arbitrability. That said, the general provision contained in Article 15 of the Act (under the part dedicated to domestic arbitration but equally applicable to international commercial arbitration having Malta as its seat) states that disputes, concerning questions of personal civil status including

those relating to personal separation, divorce or annulment of marriage, are not capable of settlement by arbitration. Equally, public law matters including competition law claims, registration of intellectual property, criminal law matters are generally regarded as not arbitrable.

However, even in traditionally non-arbitrable areas of law like disputes concerning personal status, purely patrimonial or monetary claims arising from such traditionally not arbitrable disputes can still be referred to arbitration including disputes relating to the division of property between spouses and damages arising out of intellectual property disputes or public law disputes.

3.3 National Courts' Approach

As a signatory of the New York Convention, and having modelled the Arbitration Act on the UNCITRAL Model Law, Malta is a jurisdiction which supports the arbitration process.

National courts are less likely to interfere with international arbitrations that have Malta as their seat of arbitration than they are with domestic arbitrations, where they still have residual powers. In general, and with some notable exceptions in recent judgements, Maltese courts look with disfavour at parties attempting to circumvent or frustrate arbitration proceedings through court actions. When this happens, the Maltese courts have generally shown themselves to be supportive of arbitration and unsympathetic to such claims and recognising the arbitrator's jurisdiction to rule on its competence.

There is no significant case law to report on the approach taken by the Maltese courts on matters relating to the applicable law to the arbitration agreement. Typically, the arbitration agreement will be deemed to be governed by the law of contract.

On a more general note, it is safe to state that arbitration agreements are, for the most part, immediately recognised and enforced by the Courts and the courts regularly stay proceedings in favour of arbitration proceedings when there faced with what on the face of the record would look like a valid arbitration agreement.

3.4 Validity

In terms of Article 16 of the UNCITRAL Model Law, an arbitral clause shall be considered valid even if the rest of the contract in which it is included is determined to be invalid. This applies to both domestic and international arbitrations. There can be little doubt that Maltese courts may consider an arbitral clause to be valid even if the rest of the contract in which it is contained is invalid in full application of the doctrine of separability which is contained in statutory provisions.

4. THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL

4.1 Limits on Selection

In accordance with the Model Law, the Act allows the parties to an arbitration agreement the faculty of determining any matters relating to the choice, as well as numbers, of arbitrators. Failing a determination, the default number of arbitrators is three. In addition, the MAC (the Chairman of the Centre being established as the default appointing authority) has set up various specialised panels for domestic, as well as international, arbitration which may be resorted to in order to appoint accredited arbitrators. To name a few, these panels include:

- the Maritime Panel;
- the General/Civil Commercial Panel;
- the Banking, Finance, Accounting and Taxation Panel;
- the Building Construction Panel; and

the Medical Panel.

There is no restriction at law forcing either the parties or the default appointing authority to restrict their choices of arbitrators from the said panels.

4.2 Default Procedures

In default of any agreement on the procedure for the appointment of arbitrators, the Act provides that, in the case of an arbitration with three arbitrators, each party will appoint one arbitrator, then the two party nominated arbitrators will appoint the third arbitrator, who shall act as the chairman of the arbitration tribunal. However, if a party fails to either appoint the arbitrator within 30 days of receipt of a request to do so from the other party or if the two arbitrators fail to agree on the third arbitrator within 30 days of their appointment, the appointment shall be made, upon request of a party, by the Chairman of the MAC.

In an arbitration with a sole arbitrator, where the parties fail to agree on the arbitrator, he or she shall be appointed, upon request of a party, by the Chairman of the MAC. In the context of international arbitration, the MAC chairman fills the role of default appointing authority and the functions mentioned in Articles 11(3), 11(4), 13(3) and 14 of the UNCITRAL Model Law. Therefore, in case the parties do not agree on the arbitrator(s), at one of the parties' request the Chairman shall appoint the arbitrator(s).

4.3 Court Intervention

Outside the parameters of the procedures for challenge or removal of arbitrators described in **4.4 Challenge and Removal of Arbitrators**, there is no room for court intervention in the selection of arbitrators. This answer excludes the possibility of extraordinary remedies including constitutional challenges on matters like due

process which are exceptional and outside the scope of the current review.

4.4 Challenge and Removal of Arbitrators

The Act expressly provides that arbitrators are to be independent and impartial. Accordingly, prospective arbitrators are obliged to disclose any conflict of interest as soon as possible. An arbitrator may only be challenged if circumstances exist that give rise to justifiable doubts in relation to an arbitrator's impartiality or independence. However, a party may only challenge an arbitrator appointed by them for reasons that it becomes aware of after the appointment had been made. While the UNCITRAL Model Law adopts a similar approach, in the context of international arbitration a party may also challenge the appointment of an arbitrator when he or she does not possess the qualities previously agreed to between the parties.

The parties are free to agree on a procedure for challenging an arbitrator. Failing such agreement, a party who intends to challenge an arbitrator shall:

- within 15 days after becoming aware of the constitution of the arbitral tribunal; or
- after becoming aware of any circumstances giving rise the challenge, send a written statement of the reasons for the challenge to the arbitral tribunal.

Unless the challenged arbitrator withdraws from their office or the other party agrees to the challenge, the arbitral tribunal shall decide on the challenge. If a challenge is not successful, the challenging party may request, within 30 days after having received notice of the decision rejecting the challenge, the Chairman of the MAC to decide on the challenge, which decision shall be subject to no appeal. While a request is pending, the arbitral tribunal, including the

challenged arbitrator, may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.

4.5 Arbitrator Requirements

See 4.4 Challenge and Removal of Arbitrators. Maltese law adopts both tests of independence and impartiality which are qualities that have to be assessed at appointment stage and throughout the procedure leading to the expiry of the jurisdiction of the arbitrators.

In matters relating to the assessment of such qualities, regular use is made of the IBA Guidelines on Conflict of Interest in international arbitration and publications issued by the leading arbitration institutions in Europe and beyond.

5. JURISDICTION

5.1 Matters Excluded from Arbitration See **3.2 Arbitrability**.

5.2 Challenges to Jurisdiction

An arbitral tribunal in arbitrations having Malta as their seat of arbitration may determine its own jurisdiction. In the event that proceedings are filed before any court for a declaration relating to the jurisdiction of an arbitral tribunal, such proceedings shall be stayed and the parties shall be referred to the arbitral tribunal for its decision on such issue, unless the court considers that any party will suffer irreparable harm if it does not determine such issue immediately. The principle that an arbitration tribunal is competent to rule on its own competence is widely acknowledged and the provisions of the Act are designed to safeguard its application.

5.3 Circumstances for Court Intervention

Article 32(5) of the Act expressly provides that any proceedings filed before a court for a declaration relating to the jurisdiction of an arbitral tribunal must be dismissed as this is a matter for the tribunal to decide unless the court considers that any party will suffer irreparable harm unless it determines the issue. Accordingly, in terms of Article 16(3) of the Model Law, the tribunal is to decide claims pertaining to its jurisdiction with a right of appeal from the tribunal's interim award on jurisdiction before the Court of Appeal.

5.4 Timing of Challenge

A plea that the arbitral tribunal does not have jurisdiction shall be raised not later than the submission of the statement of defence. A party is not precluded from raising such a plea by the fact that they have appointed, or participated in the appointment of, an arbitrator. A plea that the arbitral tribunal is exceeding the scope of its authority shall be raised as soon as the matter alleged to be beyond the scope of its authority is raised during the arbitral proceedings.

The arbitral tribunal may, in either case, admit a later plea if it considers the delay justified. The arbitration tribunal may rule on a non-jurisdictional plea either as a preliminary question or in an award on the merits.

If the arbitral tribunal rules as a preliminary question that it has jurisdiction, any party may request, within 30 days after having received notice of that ruling, the Court of Appeal to decide the matter, which decision shall be subject to no appeal; while such a request is pending, the arbitral tribunal may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.

5.5 Standard of Judicial Review for Jurisdiction/Admissibility

As described in **11.3 Standard of Judicial Review**, the standard of review under a challenge to the jurisdiction of the tribunal is de novo. The court will review the tribunal's jurisdiction by way of complete reassessment of facts, without being bound by the tribunal's reasoning.

5.6 Breach of Arbitration Agreement

As explained in **3.3 National Courts' Approach** and **5.3 Circumstances for Court Intervention**, the court will stay proceedings if there is a valid arbitration clause. With a few exceptions, the approach of national courts has been positive in the preservation of jurisdiction of the arbitrator.

Courts typically view with disfavour proceedings brought in breach of an arbitration agreement. It has to be said, however, that the courts would stay rather than outright dismiss proceedings so brought until the jurisdiction of the arbitration tribunal is ascertained by the tribunal itself.

5.7 Third Parties

An arbitration agreement applies only to the parties that have signed the arbitration agreement and the arbitral tribunal may not assume jurisdiction over persons who are not party to an arbitration agreement. This is so even in connection with other group entities in the context of a group of companies or in the case of subcontractors in the same project.

Under Maltese law arbitration is recognised as a consensual process and therefore contracts between two parties in principle are considered to be res inter alios acta with respect to third parties. This doctrine finds application in numerous cases decided by the Superior Courts.

6. PRELIMINARY AND INTERIM RELIEF

6.1 Types of Relief

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal may, at the request of a party, order any party to take such interim measure of protection as the arbitral tribunal considers necessary in respect of the subject matter of the dispute. The arbitral tribunal may require any

party to provide appropriate security in connection with such measure.

In principle, there is no classification or limit as to what interim relief may be granted by the tribunal however naturally the effectiveness of such orders is limited in that the tribunal has no jurisdiction to reach third parties. As such, recourse is often put to the Maltese courts as the courts of the seat of arbitration to assist in matters relating to the subpoena of witnesses, garnishee orders or security in the hands of third parties, etc.

6.2 Role of Courts

Granting Interim Relief

Courts may play a very important role in the granting of interim relief in support of arbitration in Malta in the immediate run-up to arbitration, during arbitration as well as after the award in support of recognition proceedings. Interim relief is granted typically upon ex parte application by a party and provided the requisites in terms of law are satisfied, the court may accede to an application for the issuance of the following precautionary measures in support of an arbitration.

The interim measures of protection which can be sought are listed in Article 830(1) of the Code of Organisation and Civil Procedures and include a garnishee order, a warrant of seizure of movables, a warrant of description, warrants of arrest on vessels and aircraft and a warrant of prohibitory injunction preventing a party from doing an action.

Recourse to such interim measures may be made even prior to instituting arbitral proceedings provided that arbitral proceedings are brought within 20 days from the filing of the request by a party for precautionary measures. If arbitral proceedings have already been instituted, the request to court must be accompanied by a confirmation issued by the registrar of the

Malta Arbitration Centre confirming that arbitral proceedings have been lodged.

Choice of Venue

The courts recognise the freedom of the parties to choose the venue from which to seek interim relief. There is no limitation in principle on the powers of the arbitral tribunal to also grant interim measures in terms of the applicable rules. Where interim measures have been granted by the tribunal, the courts will recognise and enforce such measures upon the application of the party in whose favour the measure has been granted.

In addition, national courts also regularly grant, upon application, interim relief in support of arbitration proceedings seated outside of Malta.

Emergency Arbitrators

Orders issued by emergency arbitrators under specific institutional rules have not yet been tested by the Maltese courts. There should be no objection or difficulty in principle with the enforceability of orders issued by emergency arbitrators. They will be dealt with in the same way interim relief issued by the tribunal is dealt with.

In principle, it is also believed that the choice of an emergency arbitrator does not in itself preclude courts from issuing interim relief in support of arbitrators unless parties themselves close such avenue in the arbitration agreement. As a matter of fact, interim relief by Maltese courts is relatively easy to obtain and in circumstances arising in Malta or assets located in Malta is probably more cost-effective and efficient than seeking interim relief from emergency arbitrators under most institutional rules.

6.3 Security for Costs

Maltese law allows the arbitral tribunals to order security for costs.

When the MAC manages domestic and international arbitrations, it shall request each party to provide a deposit as an advance for costs. Ad hoc tribunals in international arbitrations with Malta as their seat of arbitration can likewise issue orders for security for costs.

During the course of the arbitral proceedings, the arbitral tribunal may request from the parties' supplementary deposits to cover further costs. If the required deposits are not paid in full within thirty days from the receipt of the request, the arbitral tribunal shall so inform the parties in order that any one of them may make the required payment. If such payment is not made, the arbitral tribunal may order the suspension or termination of the arbitral proceedings.

At the recognition and enforcement stage, on the application of the party claiming recognition or enforcement of the award, the court may order the other party to provide appropriate security.

7. PROCEDURE

7.1 Governing Rules

The rules governing the arbitration procedure are contained in the Act and the Rules. Part IV of the Act on procedure as well as the Rules apply to:

- · domestic arbitrations; and
- international arbitrations where the parties expressly agree that the procedure shall be regulated by such rules.

The Rules also apply to international arbitrations until specific rules are provided for in the Act. However, the domestic rule requiring a notice of arbitration ad validitatem only applies to domestic arbitrations.

7.2 Procedural Steps

The parties are at liberty to determine the procedure to be followed by the arbitral tribunal in international arbitration having Malta as their seat of arbitration. The Act and the Rules provide rules on procedure as default rules in case the parties do not agree on the procedure or parties expressly adopt such rules in international arbitrations. The general public policy provisions regulating fair trial (due process) naturally apply. In domestic arbitrations, procedural flexibility is much more limited and is managed by the MAC.

7.3 Powers and Duties of Arbitrators

In domestic arbitration and where in international arbitration the parties adopt the Arbitration Rules to regulate their arbitration, the tribunal is empowered to:

- rule on its own jurisdiction, including any objections on the existence or validity of the arbitration agreement;
- order interim measures of protection;
- in the absence of party agreement, adopt appropriate procedure to conduct the arbitration; this includes the power to determine the admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of evidence;
- appoint experts and require parties to cooperate with the experts;
- request court assistance in taking evidence; and
- decide whether additional written statements should be exchanged.

Apart from the duties of independence and impartiality which have already been discussed above, an arbitrator is expected to perform their duties as arbitrator honestly, impartially, with due diligence, and without fear or favour according to law. Article 10 of the Act also lists, amongst the functions of the MAC, the right to review the performance of arbitrators including the right to

admonish or issue appropriate sanctions against arbitrators that do not perform their duties.

7.4 Legal Representatives

Those appearing on behalf of parties to arbitration proceedings are not required to have any particular qualifications. However, representatives should be familiar with both the legal and procedural rules relating to the matter in dispute as well as arbitration law and procedure. Therefore, it is possible to engage legal representatives with qualifications other than Maltese qualified representatives.

In terms of Article 18(2), "a legal practitioner or a person not qualified under the Laws of Malta may act on behalf of a party to an arbitral proceeding to which this Act applies, including appearing before the arbitral tribunal, and he shall not thereby be taken to have breached any law of Malta regulating the practice of the legal profession".

8. EVIDENCE

8.1 Collection and Submission of Evidence

The parties to the arbitration are at liberty to decide how the gathering and tendering of evidence should be carried out in the arbitration agreement. Generally, parties do so through the incorporation of institutional rules or the choice of the Rules. In default of such choice, the arbitration tribunal shall regulate the evidence before it to ensure compliance with the applicable law, which is generally the law of the seat of arbitration. The evidence in arbitration may be produced either viva voce or by sworn written statements. Moreover, Maltese law also recognises a "documents only arbitration" without the need for oral hearings.

The same rules regulating legal privilege, the use of witness statements and so on applicable to litigation apply to arbitration proceedings. In practice, even though discovery, and disclosure are not entirely familiar to Maltese law, the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration are widely known and applied (either as guidance or as governing rules).

Each party bears the burden of proving the facts that they allege. The tribunal may in turn establish a period of time for a party to file a summary of the documents and other evidence which that party intends to produce in support of their claim or defence. Whilst parties may agree on rules of disclosure, discovery proceedings do not exist under the Act and the Rules.

Unless the arbitration agreement states otherwise, the tribunal is allowed to appoint experts or require parties to submit expert evidence.

8.2 Rules of Evidence

The Rules provide that the arbitral tribunal shall regulate the production of evidence before it to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Act.

The Act provides that the rules of the Code of Organisation and Civil Procedure (Chapter 12 of the Laws of Malta) shall apply as they apply to the production of evidence before a court of civil jurisdiction.

Any application for the subpoena of a witness before an arbitral tribunal shall indicate that the witness is to appear before an arbitral tribunal for the purpose of an arbitral proceedings and shall indicate clearly the address of the place the witness is to attend and the date and time of attendance. The arbitral tribunal may administer oaths to persons called as witnesses or experts to give evidence before an arbitral tribunal.

8.3 Powers of Compulsion

Where the evidence of any person is required, the registrar may issue application for writs of subpoena in the Superior Courts to ask the courts to compel the attendance of a witness to give evidence or produce documents before an arbitral tribunal.

The Act provides that if the evidence of any person is required, the registrar may issue writs of subpoena to compel the attendance of a witness to give evidence or produce documents before an arbitral tribunal. Where any person who has been properly subpoenaed to appear before an arbitral tribunal in accordance with the aforementioned article fails to appear before the said tribunal without reasonable excuse, the tribunal may make a report thereon to the registrar, who shall by application bring the report to the attention of the First Hall Civil Court, requesting it to deal with the matter in the same manner as if the person concerned had failed to appear before that court when properly subpoenaed and thereupon the court shall deal with the matter in the said manner.

9. CONFIDENTIALITY

9.1 Extent of Confidentiality

Article 46 of the Rules imposes an obligation on arbitration participants to maintain the confidentiality of the proceedings. Neither the existence of proceedings nor the eventual award are publicised. Confidentiality does not apply in the following circumstances:

- where a party expressly seeks consent to publicise;
- if a party seeks recourse in terms of the arbitration agreement or the Act and requires disclosure of information to protect its own interests; and
- mandatory arbitrations.

The general rule is that disclosure in subsequent proceedings is prohibited unless otherwise agreed to in the arbitration agreement.

10. THE AWARD

10.1 Legal Requirements

Article 31 of the Model Law establishes the legal requirements for an arbitral award which must:

- be in writing;
- be signed by the tribunal. If the tribunal is composed of three arbitrators, the signature of the majority is required, provided that the reason for any omitted signature is stated;
- unless the parties have otherwise agreed, contain the reasons for the award; and
- state the date and place of arbitration.

Unfortunately there are no pre-set time limits for the rendering of an award under Maltese law.

10.2 Types of Remedies

The Act does not address the types of remedies available, but as a principle all kinds of remedies are available, provided they find legal support under the applicable law and are not violating public policy of Malta. Tribunals can, therefore, make declaratory reliefs and order monetary compensation, specific performance or other ad hoc types of remedies requested by the parties within the parameters outlined above.

10.3 Recovering Interest and Legal Costs

In accordance with Article 50 of the Act, the term "costs" includes:

- the fees of the tribunal;
- travel and other expenses incurred by each arbitrator;
- costs of expert advice and other assistance required by the tribunal;

- travel and other expenses of witnesses approved by the tribunal;
- costs for legal representation and assistance of the successful party if such costs were claimed during the arbitral proceedings, and only if the tribunal deems such costs to be reasonable; and
- any fees and expenses payable to the Malta Arbitration Centre.

The arbitral tribunal may order the unsuccessful party to pay part or the full amount of the costs of the dispute. In both domestic and international arbitration, the Arbitration Act specifies that costs of arbitration shall in principle be borne by the unsuccessful party. However, it is in the discretion of the arbitral tribunal to apportion the costs, taking into account of the particular circumstances of the case.

11. REVIEW OF AN AWARD

11.1 Grounds for Appeal

Recourse to the national courts against an arbitral award may be had only by an application for setting aside in accordance with specific provisions provided by the Act.

The party making the application must show that either:

- a party to the arbitration agreement was under some incapacity;
- the agreement is not valid under the law which the parties have stipulated it or, failing any indication thereon, under the law of Malta:
- the party making the application was not given proper notice of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings, or was otherwise unable to present his or her case;

- the award deals with a dispute not contemplated by or not falling within the terms of the submission to arbitration, or contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the submission to arbitration, provided that, if the decisions on matters submitted to arbitration can be separated from those not so submitted, only that part of the award which contains decisions on matters not submitted to arbitration may be set aside; or
- the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with the agreement of the parties, unless such agreement was in conflict with a provision of the Act from which the parties cannot derogate or, failing such agreement, was not in accordance with the Act.

In its determination the national courts will find that:

- the subject matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration under the law of Malta; or
- the award is in conflict with the public policy of Malta.

However, an application for setting aside may not be made after three months have elapsed from the date on which the party making that application had received the award or, if a request had been made for an additional award, from the date on which that request had been disposed of by the Arbitration Tribunal.

Recourse against an arbitral award delivered under Part V of the Arbitration Act (international arbitration) may be made to the Court of Appeal by an appeal on a point of law only if the parties to the arbitration agreement have expressly agreed that such right of appeal is available to the parties in addition to the rights of recourse as contemplated in Article 34 of the UNCITRAL Model Law.

11.2 Excluding/Expanding the Scope of Appeal

The parties may expressly by agreement exclude the right of appeal in domestic arbitrations either in the arbitration agreement or in a separate document in writing.

11.3 Standard of Judicial Review

In principle, there is no right to appeal (de novo review) an arbitral award unless the invoked grounds require a limited review thereof, such as a violation of public policy. See 11.2 Excluding/Expanding the Scope of Appeal.

12. ENFORCEMENT OF AN AWARD

12.1 New York Convention

Malta is a party to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards 1958 (New York Convention) and its provisions have been incorporated into domestic law. The courts have generally applied the Convention with a pro-enforcement bias. Both reservations applicable under the New York Convention have been adopted by Malta. The Act also incorporates the Geneva Convention 1923, the Geneva Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Award 1927 (Geneva Convention 1927) and the Washington Convention 1965.

12.2 Enforcement Procedure

Foreign awards to which the treaties set out in the Second Schedule to the Arbitration Act apply once registered with the MAC are enforceable in the same manner as if they were awarded locally. On registration with the MAC, awards constitute executive titles. The awards are then enforced with the intervention of the national courts through the issuance of a number of executive warrants. Part VIII of the rules outline the documents required upon registration of the foreign arbitral award with the MAC.

On receipt of an application with all the attachments, the Registrar shall serve the respondent with a copy of all the documents received who shall have ten working days to state in writing whether there are any reasons why the Registrar should not proceed with the registration of the award.

Awards Set Aside

Maltese courts have not yet dealt with the issue of recognition of arbitral awards set aside at the seat of arbitration. In such a circumstance it would be difficult to see Maltese courts enforce awards set aside at the seat.

Where an arbitration award has not been set aside at the seat of arbitration but an award is subject to ongoing set-aside proceedings at the seat, the recognition procedure in Malta is typically stayed. There are a number of cases where the NYC designated court has opted to stay. This is in line with the procedural requirement under Rule 54 of the Rules which states that together with the application for recognition the applicant has to provide "a sworn declaration [...] that no recourse has been taken and is pending against the award and the award is final". There is doubt whether this requirement raises the "ceiling" of maximum harmonisation established by the New York Convention.

Sovereign Immunity

The sovereign immunity defence can successfully be raised at the enforcement stage in relation to all assets that the state or state entity can prove are functional to the exercise of public powers including under international or bilateral conventions.

12.3 Approach of the Courts

The MAC and the Maltese Courts apply a strict standard to enforcement of awards but have generally taken a positive pro-arbitration stance in recognition and enforcement interpreting the Article V exceptions in the New York Convention restrictively. Awards generally are considered to violate public policyin blatant and manifest contrast with the basic principles of the Maltese legal framework. However, there is no developed case-law on international public policy similar to French case law on the subject.

13. MISCELLANEOUS

13.1 Class-Action or Group Arbitration

While the law does not specifically provide for class-action arbitration, it is possible to have multiple parties as claimants or defendants to arbitration proceedings (Article 21A of the Act).

13.2 Ethical Codes

The MAC has published a code of ethics for arbitrators which has not been updated for a number of years. The IBA Guidelines on Conflict, and policy documents issued by leading organisations like the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb), are regularly consulted on professional standards and ethical codes in arbitrations having Malta as their seat of arbitration.

13.3 Third-Party Funding

Third-party funding of an arbitral claim is not contemplated by Maltese law and there is currently no market for the industry.

13.4 Consolidation

Parties may agree that arbitral proceedings are consolidated with other arbitral proceedings, or that concurrent hearings are held. Parties are free to determine the terms for consolidation (Article 52(2) of the Rules). Conversely, the arbitral tribunal may only order consolidation or concurrent hearings upon agreement of all the parties to confer such power on the tribunal.

MALTA LAW AND PRACTICE

Contributed by: Antoine Cremona and Louis Cassar Pullicino, Ganado Advocates

13.5 Third Parties

Third parties who are not signatories to a contract containing an arbitration clause nor parties to an arbitration agreement are not bound to arbitrate.

Ganado Advocates is a leading commercial law firm with a particular focus on the corporate, financial services and maritime/aviation sectors, predominantly servicing international clients doing business through Malta. With some of the leading lawyers in Malta in matters relating to international commercial arbitration, Ganado Advocates has been engaged to represent clients in international arbitrations under the leading sets of rules in Europe, including in matters relating to investment arbitrations. The

firm has also assisted, and regularly assists, in the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitration awards, in setting-aside proceedings, in interim and injunctive relief proceedings as well as providing expert evidence on Maltese law in various arbitration proceedings. The team has a significant breadth of experience in matters relating to proceedings under the New York Convention and on matters relating to sovereign immunity in international commercial arbitration.

AUTHORS



Antoine Cremona is a partner at Ganado Advocates, regularly representing clients in commercial litigation and arbitration proceedings, with a special focus on shareholder

disputes, construction contracts and cross-border proceedings. Antoine also has significant experience in public procurement and construction law and regularly assists employers, engineers and contractors in the drafting and negotiation of construction contracts modelled on FIDIC and other main industry forms of contract. He is a senior lecturer in international commercial arbitration at the University of Malta. Antoine was admitted to the Maltese Bar in 2004, is a member of the ICC Commission on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution and is a fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (FCIArb).



Louis Cassar Pullicino is an experienced litigator specialising in commercial litigation, who is particularly active in admiralty and shipping, banking, corporate, insolvency, insurance,

telecommunications, pensions and contractual disputes with an international dimension. For over 25 years, he has been advising numerous banking institutions and has been involved in complex marine and commercial litigation and arbitration cases. Louis regularly represents clients in international commercial arbitrations and has acted as chairman and co-arbitrator in several international arbitrations. He held the position of managing partner at Ganado Advocates between 2015 and 2021.

MALTA I AW AND PRACTICE

Contributed by: Antoine Cremona and Louis Cassar Pullicino, Ganado Advocates

Ganado Advocates

Ganado Advocates 171, Old Bakery Street VLT 1455, Valletta

Tel: +356 2123 5406 Email: lawfirm@ganado.com Web: www.ganado.com

